

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,567	10/25/2003	Nick E. Ciavarella	GOJ 03031	2169
26360 7	26360 7590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER	
-	ENNER, GREIVE, BO	DERAKSHANI, PHILIPPE		
FIRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR 106 S. MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44308			3754	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/693,567	CIAVARELLA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI	3754
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - External - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAY IN THE MAILING DANS	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-7 and 9 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-7 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage
Attachmen		A\ □ 1	(DTO 442)
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker et al 4,974,753.

Tucker et al show a collar comprising a keyplate 64 and a first 30 and second 40 flange. Tucker et al discloses the claimed invention except for the notch 68 on the flange 30 and the projecting part 32 on the dispenser. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put the notch on the flange and the projecting part on the dispenser, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tucker 4,974,753 in view of Smernoff 5,377,876.

Tucker et al lack the collar having a vertical rib. Smernoff shows a vertical rib 16 on a collar to facilitate grasping (column 4, lines 33-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Tucker et al collar with a vertical rib as taught by Smernoff to facilitate grasping.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/693,567

Art Unit: 3754

Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Tucker lacks the first and second flanges receiving a keyplate therebetween. Tucker clearly shows a keyplate 64 between the first 30 and second 40 flange. Applicant further contends the claimed invention contrast with Tucker in that it is designed to bypass a keying system. However applicant clearly claims a "keyplate".

Applicant further argues that Tucker and Smernoff are nonanalogous art. Tucker and Smernoff are analogous art because they are both fluid containers with an outlet. Further both are in the dispensing (class 222) art.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., vertical rib to limit rotatation and vertical rib interacts with the cover of the dispenser) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3754

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIPPE S. DERAKSHANI whose telephone number is 571-272-4925. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHILIPPE S DERAKSHANI
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

Application/Control Number: 10/693,567

Art Unit: 3754

11/8/06

Page 5